

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Parent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington Dec. 2023

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/744,692 06/12/2001 Massimo Aleardi 713-428 3317

7590 12/18/2002

Lowe Hauptman Gilman & Berner EXAMINER

Lowe Hauptman Gilman & Berner Suite 310 1700 Diagonal Road Alexandria, VA 22314

LE, THANH TAM T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2839

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	7
	•	09/744,692		ALEARDI ET AL.	
7	Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit	
		Thanh-Tam T. L	•	2839	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ars on the cove	r sheet with th	orrespond nce ad	ddress
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1) 	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	une 2001			
2a)☐	•	s action is non-fi	nal		
3)					
Disp siti	on of Claims	_x parto quay.o,	,		
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consider	ation.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ment.		
_	Fhe specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		ed to by the Exa	miner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		_		
11) 🔲 T				ved by the Examin	ier.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office ac	tion.	·	
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur 	ity documents ha	ave been receive 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		•		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			• •	l application).
	□ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				
Attachment	(s)				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	4)	Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	

Application/Control Number: 09/744,692

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4, line 1, "Claim 5" should be changed to - Claim 3--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reynolds et al. (4,261,629) in view of Pawlikowski (5,357,074).

Reynolds et al., figures 9 and 11, disclose a board-mounted electronic device comprising:

- a board (42) for supporting electronic components and supporting at least one conductive track to form an electric circuit (48);
- an insulated electric wire (64) having an inner conductor and an insulating sheath; and
- at least one terminal (2) for electrically connecting the circuit; The terminal comprising a metal blade (6) which is defined means for mechanically

...........

retaining and electrically connecting the electric wire and which acts on an end portion of the inner conductor;

Reynolds et al. disclose the instant claimed invention as described above except for the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit and bent into L outwards of the plane of the board.

Pawlikowski, figures 3 and 5, discloses a figure (20) having a pad-proximate surface (26) connects with contact pad (52) of the circuit board (50) by the fusible material (34), which read on the metal blade formed in one piece with the track of the circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Reynolds et al. to have the pad-proximate surface, the fusible material and the L-shape's figure as taught by Pawlikowski, in order to reduce cost manufacture.

Regarding claim 2, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the blade comprising a slot having a cutting edges for cutting the insulating sheath. The slot comprising a semicircular seat of a diameter substantially equal to the inner conductor of the electric wire and smaller than the diameter of the insulator sheath.

Regarding claim 3, Reynolds et al., figure 6, disclose the slot is substantially V-shaped groove for assisting insertion of the end of the electric wire inside the seat.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the circuit comprising a number of tracks, each defined by a semicut metal strip applied to the board.

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Pawlikowski discloses the board is molded from synthetic plastic resin. The strips being co-molded with the board.

_ Application/Control Number: 09/744,692

Art Unit: 2839

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh-Tam T. Le whose telephone number is (703) 306-5711. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

TL. December 7, 2002

LYNN FIELD SI IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800